## E. DEVELOPMENT OF TIMBER OPTIONS

As discussed in Section III C, analysis areas in suitable forest lands were delineated in part by three working group identifiers (ponderosa pine, mixed conifer, and lodgepole pine) and by seven existing vegetative condition classes (mature, commercial thin, precommercial thin, reforestation, two-story stands, no treatment, and low site).

These analysis area identifiers depicted stands which were classified as suitable and available lands for timber harvesting FORPLAN timber management prescriptions were developed for every combination of management emphasis which called for scheduled harvesting and analysis areas which contained suitable and available forested land. For any one prescription, the model was also offered several timing choices for implementation. Also, for each management emphasis and analysis area combination which involved timber harvesting options, the model could select a prescription which called for no program harvesting. This prescription was used as the final economic suitability test.

The silvicultural prescriptions and their associated yield tables developed for these analysis areas were based on: (1) the 1980 timber inventory data for each strata, (2) management objectives, (3) professional judgment of the Forest Silviculturist, and (4) Stand Prognosis runs

The first step was a meeting of the Forest Silviculturist and timber planners to determine what timber option could be used on each site. (Ref. 1920 letter, Prescription Development, March 26,1982, Letter Notes, April 26,1982). At this meeting it was agreed that even-aged management would be the most appropriate method of management (although later it was agreed to include uneven-age management in viable alternatives) (See discussion on Harvest Cutting Method Selection, Appendix E.) Also, it was deemed unlikely that the Forest would use the clearcutting regeneration option in the ponderosa pine type Clearcutting and shelterwood regeneration options would both be allowed in the mixed conifer type and only the clearcutting regeneration option would occur in the lodgepole pine type.

The next step was actual development of the timber prescription and associated yield tables. During this process while working with the timber data base, it was determined that the timbered stands on the Forest were the same, i.e., they were two-storied in nature and for the most part the existing understory could be managed (Ref. Stand Prognosis runs). It was also determined that in the lodgepole pine type two of the strata (mature and two-story) were almost identical, and they were combined (later the lodgepole condition classes for the alternatives were reduced to two: mature sawtimber, and seedlings and saplings). In addition, due to the mountain pine beetle epidemic the only silvicultural options in susceptible lodgepole pine stands were final harvest or do nothing (minimum level).

In addition, special prescriptions were developed for two specific areas of the Forest visual and riparian management areas. The objectives in these areas were to provide continuous forest cover (i e., to meet stream-surface shading requirements in timbered riparian areas) and to provide scenic quality and large-diameter trees in the visual zone; both even-aged and uneven-aged timber prescriptions meet the overall objectives of these areas. Nowever, the uneven-aged method, or a modified version, would best meet these objectives in these areas (See Standards for these areas, Forest Plan, Chapter IV, Section F.)

All planting will be done with genetically improved stock. The genetic tree improvement program on the Forest will ensure that genetically-improved stock is maintained in adequate quantities for planting needs. The actual configuration of the timber prescription initially available to the FORPLAN model can be found in Table B-7.

There were no special prescriptions developed for elk winter ranges, because the standard timber prescription met the needs of elk on these lands when used in conjunction with cover constraints.

Further analysis of the timber prescriptions showed that some changes were still needed. The high reliance on overstory removals in mature and two-storied stands was not realistic because some stands would have an understory too old to manage, and too much harvest related damage would occur to the understories of others. Estimates of probable occurrence of these situations were made, and that amount of these stands was given only the final harvest or no-treatment options (see 1920 letter, Unmanageable Understories in Two-storied Stands, March 7, 1983). Further refinement was made to the riparian, visual resources, and overstory removal prescriptions over time, but the basic configuration stayed the same. See Table B-7, Summary of Timber Harvest Prescriptions available to FORPLAN, (Final Version). A final revision to the timber harvesting prescriptions was that salvage operations will be allowed in visual resource foreground areas. The estimate of manageable understories has been updated to reflect current conditions (1989).

### Financial Analysis (Stage II)

A full range of management options were considered for each analysis area, ranging from the low intensities (i.e., natural regeneration and final harvest) to very high levels of management intensities, with different stocking levels and a number of commercial thins varying from one to four in number. National Forest Management Act regulations require that a financial analysis be performed for management prescriptions applied to the lands which are identified as tentatively suitable for timber production (CFR 219.14(b)). To analyze the economic efficiency of the management options available for individual analysis areas, a FORPLAN run with an objective function of "Maximizing Present Net Value for individual stands with detail" was utilized.

Economic efficiency was evaluated for each of the management prescriptions applied to tentatively suitable lands by determining respective present net values. Present net value was calculated by subtracting the direct costs of growing and harvesting timber from the benefits after discounting both to the present at 4 percent interest rate. The PNV includes the benefits and costs of managing the existing stands as well as future stands in the 150-year analysis period. Benefits are the expected cash and in-kind payments from timber sales. Costs are timber sale planning and administration, timber road construction, reforestation, release, precommercial thinning, and sale area betterment for wildlife, fish, soil, water and livestock. The analysis only considers the benefits and costs of the timber and does not include values and costs for other resources. The assumptions and procedures in FORPLAN (including timber yields and options, management prescriptions, and economic information) are described in this appendix, "Use of Cost Efficiency in Developing Prescriptions", Section III. D. 3.

The present net value of an individual timber stand is determined by many factors which affect the quality of the timber when it is first harvested and the length of time before it can be harvested. First, biological and physical characteristics of the land influence the rate the timber grows, and the size and species of the final stand. Second, management objectives determine the types and timing of management activities which are appropriate to use in the stand. Like the biological and physical factors, the activities influence the rate of growth, the quality of the timber, and when the stand can be harvested.

The biological and physical factors are represented in FORPLAN with different combinations of 3 analysis area identifiers major vegetative groupings, land class delineated by slope, and condition class Management objectives and activities are modeled in FORPLAN with different management prescriptions. The analysis areas and management prescriptions interact so that each analysis area has a multitude of possible economic values which depend upon the schedule of activities associated with the prescription

The different combinations of management areas and scheduling choices modeled in FORPLAN were evaluated to determine which management scenario would have the highest economic value as measured by present net value. Economic efficiency was also considered in the development of prescriptions before consideration in FORPLAN for a particular analysis area. Those selected for inclusion in FORPLAN were those which represented the goal of the management emphasis in a manner which contributes the greatest to present net value. Table B-5 displays the approximate maximum possible PNV for each working group, land class and condition class.

Analysis of the results indicated that all analysis areas had at least one timber option (i.e., prescription) which resulted in a positive present net value. The prescription which maximizes PNV for all stands is the timber prescription performing initial overstory removal and subsequent shelterwood harvesting within mature and two storied ponderosa pine stands relying on natural regeneration. Present net value is maximized within the mixed conifer stands that are initially treated by overstory removal and later regenerated through clearcutting and planting. In most cases, the PNV is highest when the stand is harvested as soon as possible. The present net value begins to decline when the stand is held beyond the point when timber values per acre grow faster than the 4 percent discount rate. Timber values increase not only when the amount of volume per acre is increasing, but also as the trees increase in diameter

As a result of public comment, several changes and/or additions were made to the timber prescriptions (See Table B-7, Summary of Timber Harvest Prescriptions Available to FORPLAN, Version applied to alternatives)

The primary substantive change to the Final Environmental Impact Statement that resulted from public comments was in the amount of forested acres which actually had manageable understories. A review was conducted by ranger district personnel to determine more accurately the percentage of manageable understories related to two-story stands in two working groups and major watersheds (see analysis results in Table B-6). The results of this analysis were then applied to the FORPLAN model based on the respective watersheds. This data is for all tentatively suitable acres and is common to all viable alternatives. For details of the process used see the process paper "Manageable Understories Review" (5/10/89).

3

TABLE B-5
ECONOMICALLY EFFICIENT MANAGEMENT BY WORKING GROUP/MAX PNV (APPROXIMATE DOLLAR VALUES)

Working Group		Lodgepole Pine		Mixed Conifer		Ponderosa Pine	
Condition Class	on Land Class (% slope)	Existing Inventory PNV/Acre	Managed Inventory PNV/Acre	Existing Inventory PNV/Acre	Managed Inventory PNV/Acre	Existing Inventory PNV/Acre	Managed Inventory PNV/Acre
2 00000	0.25%	450		4 050	050	4 (00	250
2 STORY	0-35% 36%+	150 0	50 0	1,050 400	250 30	1,600 1,150	350 250
COMTHN	0-35%	200	50	550	250	1,050	350
	36%+	0	0	100	0	650	240
MATURE	0-35	50	0	600	250	1,300	350
	36%+	0	0	150	0	800	250
NOTRET	0-35%	200	40	450	250	650	350
	36%+	0	0	150	0	400	250
OLDGTH	0-35%	150	50	1,050	250	1,600	350
	36%+	0	0	400	0	1,150	250
PRETHN	0-35%	0	50			250	350
	36%+					100	250
REFRST	0-35%	30	50	0	-80	0	350
	36%+						
ALLFOR	0-35%					1,500	350
(RIPARN)	36%+	250	00	1,050	150		

TABLE 8-6
Proportion of Understory Manageable by Timber Type Across Watersheds

	Proportion of Unde	erstory Manageable	by Timber Type
Watershed	Ponderosa Pine (Ad	eres) Mixed Co	nifer (Acres)
Silvies River	91% (100	0,013) 63%	(126,460)
North Fork Malheur	78% ( 16	5,671) 55%	( 45,052)
South Fork John Day	81% ( 36	5,538) 87%	( 48,802)
Malheur River	91% ( 35	5,819) 55%	( 65,955)
Upper John Day	92% ( 18	3,207) 43%	( 84,684)
Middle Fork John Day	92% ( 20	),306) 21%	(121,630)
Fox/Cottonwood	95 <b>%</b> ( <sup>L</sup>	,581) 18%	( 14,052)
Totals (weighted by act	res) 89% (232	2,135) 49%	(506,633)
Overall weighted average	ge	62% (738,768)	

Public comments also centered on the minimal amount of uneven-aged management planned for the Forest under the Draft Forest Plan. In response to these comments, a prescription was developed to produce ponderosa pine timber volume based on uneven-aged silvicultural management methods (See 2110 letter, Managed and Regenerated Yield Tables - Uneven-aged/Multistory, 6/9/88). The developed uneven-aged silvicultural prescriptions were applied to specific management areas.

Other relevant comments focused on the need to consider commercial thinning prescriptions, which was felt to be necessary for stand improvement, during the early decades of the Forest Plan This prompted a review by timber management personnel of the Prognosis data for commercial thins and no-treatment stands. The review indicated that these stands were structured such that few large diameter overstory trees were present along with many smaller diameter trees in the understory. The harvest of these stands would actually result in a commercial thin, along with the removal of the few larger diameter overstory trees.

Consequently, these type of harvest entries are now being considered as commercial thins, rather than what was formerly termed as overstory removal. This change relates to both commercial thins and no-treatment model components within existing stands of ponderosa pine and mixed conifer. In addition, resulting from the time period which has elapsed from the last inventory to present (ten years), these activities were allowed to occur one decade sooner (See Table B-7, Summary of Timber Harvest Prescriptions Available to FORPLAN, Version applied to alternatives)

The last significant change to the prescriptions was to adjust the entry period from three to five decades in the ponderosa pine and mixed conifer two-storied stands (0501 and 0502 model components). This change allowed for a longer period of time for these stands to be entered for harvest.

TABLE B-7

SUMMARY	OF	TIMBER	HARVEST	PRESCRIPTIONS	TN	FORPLAN	(Benchmark	Version'	١
O ONTHEIR	O.	11.101111	TUTTIVE	I ITTO CITTI I TOUCH	.4.4	Y OVER THIRD	OCHCHIGE	I CT O TOTAL	,

	PONDEROSA PINE		MIXED CONIFER		LODGEPOLE PINE
0101	FH only	02	01 FH only	0103	(None)
0201	FH only	02	02 FH only		FH only
	CT-FH		СТ-ГН		CT-FH
	CT-CT-FH		CT-CT-FH		CT-CT-FH
	CT-CT-FH		CT-CT-CT-FH		CT-CT-CT-FH
0301	. FH only	03	02 None	0303	PCT-FH
	PCT-CT-FH				PCT-CT-FH
0401	. FH only	04	02 FH only	0403	FH only
	PCT-FH		РСТ-FH		PCT-FH
	PCT-CT-FH		PCT-CT-FH		PCT-CT-FH
0501	. FH only	05	02 FH only	0503	FH only
	OSR-FM		OSR-FH		•
	OSR-CT-FH		OSR-CT-FH		
	OSR-CT-CT-FH		OSR-CT-CT-FH		
			OSR-CT-CT-FH		
0601	FH only	06	02 FH only	0603	FH only
	CT-FH		CT-FH		CT-CT-CT-FH
	CT-CT-FH		CT-CT-FH		CT-CT-FH
	CT-CT-FR		CT-CT-CT-FH		CT-CT-FH
					CT-FH
Common	to all of the above.	Comm	on to all of the above	Common	to all of the above
1 In	all stands, FH is a SW	1 I	n all stands FH are SW or CC	1 In	all stands FH is a CC.
2 A11	OSR include concurrent PCT	2 A	11 OSR include concurrent PCT.	2 A11	OSR include concurrent PCT
3 Sta	nds must have OSR take place	3 S	tands must have OSR take place	3 Sta	nd must have a OSR take
wit	hin three decades of first	W	ithin three decades of first	pla	ce within two decades of
ent	ry If this does not	е	ntry If this does not happen	fir	st entry. If this does not
hap	pen, then stands will be	t	hen stands will be scheduled	hap	pen, then stands will be
sch	eduled for a FH	f	or a FH	sch	eduled for a FH.
4 Pla	nting with genetically	4. A	ll planting will be with	4 None	stocked lands will be
imp	roved stock will take place	g	enetically improved stock,	pla	nted with genetically
und	er SW 36+ slopes. There is	р	lanting will take place under	imp	roved stock. In all other
a n	atural regeneration only	S	W on slopes 36+ There is	cas	es, natural regeneration
opt	ion for SW on all slopes on	а	lso a natural regeneration	wil	l be relied on
36+	slopes, a two-decade	0.	nly option for SW on all		
reg	eneration period is used for	s	lopeson 36+ slopes a three		
	deducation period in about for				
	ural regeneration		ecade regeneration period is		

FH = Final Harvest; SW = Shelterwood, OSR = Overstory Removal, PCT = Precommercial Thin; CT = Commercial Thin, CC = Clearcut; Riparian = All timber prescriptions will be the same as above; Visuals = Ponderosa pine - Uneven-aged management, entry every three decades, no salvage between entries; Mixed Conifer - Uneven-aged management, entry every two decades, no salvage between entries, Lodgepole pine - Same as above (timber).

## TABLE B-7 (Continued)

## SUMMARY OF TIMBER HARVEST PRESCRIPTIONS AVAILABLE TO FORPLAN (Version applied to alternatives)

F	PONDEROSA PINE		MIXED CONIFER		LODGEPOLE PINE	,
0101	FH only * OSR-FH OSR-CT-FH	0201	FH only SE-SE	*	0103 (same as 0503)	
	SE-SE					
0201	FH only	0202	FH only		0203 (Same as 0503)	•
	CT-FH		CT-FH		•	
	CT-CT-FH		CT-CT-FH			
	CT-CT-FH		CT-CT-CT-FH			
	SE-SE		SE-SE			
0301	PCT-FH	0302	None		0303 PCT-FH	
0401	FH only	0402	FH only		0403 (same as 0303)	
	PCT-FH		PCT-FH			
	PCT-CT-FH		PCT-CT-FH			
0501	FH only	0502	FH only		0503 FH only	•
	OSR-FH *		OSR-CT-FH	*	CT-FH	
	OSR-CT-FH *		OSR-CT-CT-FH	•	CT-CT-FH	
	OSR-CT-CT-FH •		OSR-CT-CT-CT-FH	•	CT-CT-CT-FH	
	SE-SE •		SE-SE	*		
0601	FH only	0602	FH only		0603 (Same as 0303)	
	CT-FH		CT-FH			
	CT-CT-FH		CT-CT-FH			
	CT-CT-FH		CT-CT-CT-FH			
	SE-SE		SE-SE			
l. In a	all stands, FH is SW.	1 In	all stands, FH are	e SW or CC	1. In all stands FH is a	cc.
2. 60 I	Percent of all OSR have a	2. 60	Percent of all OS	R have a	2 60 Percent of all OSR	have
PCT	at the time of OSR.	PC	T at the time of	OSR.	a PCT at the time of	OSR.
3. Star	nds must have OSR take	3. Sta	nds must have OSR	take	3 Stands must have OSR	take
plac	ce within five decades	pla	ce within five de	cades of	place within three de	cades of
of i	first entry. If this does	fir	st entry. If this	s does	first entry. If this	does
not	happen, then stands will	not	happen, then star	nds	not happen, then stan	ds will
be s	scheduled for a FH	wil	1 be scheduled for	r a FH	be scheduled for a FH	
impr with avai 36 p natu opti	planting will be complished with genetically roved stock. There is a SW continuous in interplanting option, liable for slopes > percent, as well as a SW cural regeneration only cion There can be up to a decade total regeneration ciod.	acc imp wit ava 36 nat opt	planting will be complished with generoved stock. Then h interplanting of ilable for slopes percent, as well a ural regeneration from There can be decade total regulation.	re is a SW ption,  \( \sum_{\text{as a SW}} \)  only e up to a		
Sele avai	ection harvest will be ilable in all model ponents, and will emphasize derosa pine.	5 Sel	ection harvest wi lilable in all mode ponents, and will derosa pine	el		

The following page has an explanation of the acronyms and symbols used in Table B-7.

#### Additional prescriptions

Riparian

All timber prescriptions will be available as above, plus uneven-aged management, as in visual resources (Lodgepole pine - Uneven-aged management entry every four

decades )

Visual Resource

Ponderosa pine - Uneven-aged management, entry every three decades. Mixed Conifer - Uneven-aged management, entry every two decades

Lodgepole pine - Same as above (timber).

Low site

Uneven-aged management, entry every four decades

#### Acronyms and symbols

FH = Final Harvest, SW = Shelterwood; OSR = Overstory Removal, PCT = Precommercial Thin; CT = Commercial Thin; CC = Clearcut, SE = Selection (uneven-age), <35% only

\* = Prescriptions used for updating for harvests in the 1980-89 period

# F. DEVELOPMENT OF YIELD COEFFICIENTS

#### 1. Timber

Timber yield coefficients were developed from a Forest timber inventory completed The inventory data were processed in a computer model called Stand Prognosis for Managed and Regenerated Yield Tables and, at the Regional Office for the existing situation, Empirical Yield Tables These models predict yields over time for each timber strata, based on existing volume, age, growth rates, and specific management activities Other yield tables were also developed using the above data and applying professional knowledge or accepted statistical methodology to get desired results again for Managed and Regenerated Yield Tables (1920 letter, August 13, 1982 Processing Criteria - Empirical and Managed Yield Tables: 1920 letter, August 15, 1982, Malheur National Forest Timber Management Yield Tables; 1920 letter, June 4, 1985, Managed Yield Tables, Uneven-aged, Management -Visuals, 1920 letter, September 28, 1984, Timber Yield Tables <20 ft /ac/yr (low site).) All of these coefficients were adjusted to give net values for timber production (1920 letter, May 28, 1982, Adjustment to Yield Tables), with the exception of low-site and empirical yield tables which were net values to start with. Further adjustments were also made to fit these yield coefficients into the FORPLAN computer model (Notes, Error in FORPLAN "Free-Form" Timber Yield Tables used for Overstory Removals (OSR), December 11, 1985) These tables were adjusted to the year 1987, on the assumption that that year would be the mid-point of the first decade of the plan.

Resulting from public comments prompting changes to the Final Environmental Impact Statement, new yield tables were developed for uneven-aged management (2410 letter, June 9, 1988, Managed and Regenerated Yield Tables - Uneven-aged/multistory) These tables were then used in FORPLAN analysis for application in the general forest management areas.